

| | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Report title | Draft Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy | |
| Cabinet member with lead responsibility | Councillor Hazel Malcolm Health and Wellbeing | |
| Wards affected | All wards | |
| Accountable director | John Denley, Director for Public Health | |
| Originating service | Public Health | |
| Accountable employee(s) | John Denley | Director for Public Health |
| | Tel | 01902 550148 |
| | Email | John.denley@wolverhampton.gov.uk |
| Report to be/has been considered by | Strategic Executive Board | 26 June 2018 |
| | People Leadership Team | 21 June 2018 |

Recommendations for action or decision:

The Health and Wellbeing Board is recommended to:

1. Endorse or agree amendments to the priorities identified
2. Endorse or agree amendments to the approach to measuring progress
3. Approve the strategy for wider consultation, dependent on the above amendments being made

1.0 Purpose

- 1.1 To present a draft of the new Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2018 – 2023.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 Health and Wellbeing Boards (HWB) are a formal committee of the local authority charged with promoting greater integration and partnership between bodies from the NHS, public health and local government. They have a statutory duty, with Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs), to produce a Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) and a Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (JHWS) for their local population.
- 2.2 Interviews have recently been conducted with Wolverhampton HWB members to collate views on the role and structure of the Board, as well as the strategy, which is due to be refreshed in 2018. Members consistently fed back that priorities should be chosen based on the Board's ability to make a real difference.
- 2.3 The purpose of the Board, through its strategy, is to provide collective systems leadership across the health and care economy. However, the Board would set itself up to fail if it tried to address the full breadth of health and wellbeing (much of which would continue as business as usual without the Strategy or Board). It is recommended, therefore, that the strategy should focus on areas in which the Board can add value and unlock the potential for transformational change through system leadership.

3.0 Proposed strategy

- 3.1 It was felt that if the HWB priorities were anchored back to the City 2030 vision this would enable the Board to be free to drive the health agenda from a city-wide perspective using a thematic approach. The HWB needs to be able to respond quickly to emerging needs, and a narrow focus on Joint Health and Wellbeing strategy priorities would hinder this, so the priority areas have been deliberately described at a high level; the detail of work programmes and indicators would be agreed in sub-groups.
- 3.2 Public and patient involvement should be integral to the JSNA and JHWS process; it is proposed that the JHWS process should provide a useful platform to engage with the public in a debate about the big issues we are facing, such as integrating health and social care. As well as formal consultation, it is recommended that public involvement should be built into each ongoing theme of the strategy moving forwards.

4.0 Financial implications

- 4.1 There are no financial implications associated with this report.
[MI/19062018/Q]

5.0 Legal implications

5.1 There are no legal implications associated with this report.
[RB/15062018/D]

6.0 Equalities implications

6.1 A reduction in health inequalities is an overarching aim of the Board. Equalities impact assessments will be carried out as appropriate for each priority area during the process of developing the associated workplans.

7.0 Environmental implications

7.1 There are no environmental implications associated with this report.

8.0 Human resources implications

8.1 There are no environmental implications associated with this report.

9.0 Corporate Landlord implications

9.1 There are no Corporate Landlord implications associated with this report.